



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:) Group Art Unit: 2859
Scott, Valerie Anne et al) Examiner: Guadalupe, Yaritza
Serial No.: 09/520,087) Attorney Docket: SG 99428
Filed: March 7, 2000) Date: September 3, 2003

#14/Response
Amended
9/29/03

For: OPTICAL SIGHT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Mail Stop: Amendment with Extension of Time

RECEIVED
SEP 10 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

ARGUMENTS

Sir:

Applicant is in receipt of a Non-Final Office Action from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office dated March 03, 2003. Applicant's respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Rejection of the claims for the following reasons.

Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over the teachings of Idan (US 4,665,622) in view of Cameron et al (US 5,001,836).

Idan is cited as teaching an optical sighting device comprising an elongated housing, a lens having a partially reflective surface, a light source, a battery and an energizing circuit. The Examiner also relies upon Idan as teaching a control means for energizing the light source.